

# Course form to fill in (2019-2020)

### Course title:

Sharing Economy: Concept and Cases

# Staff responsible for the course:

Hee-Dong Yang, Ph.D

### Lecturers:

First Name + Name Hee-Dong Yang, Ph.D

# 1) COURSE PRESENTATION

### Aims:

Draw up a list of competencies and/or skills that the student should have acquired or improved at the end of the course.

You must fill in the field under the format "the student should be able to..." using action verbs such as define, realise, control... (This description should not take more than ten lines)

# At the end of the course, the student should be able to:

After completing the course, students should be able to do the following:

Students can understand the business models of current sharing economy business and various challenges against the success of this business model.

## **Prerequisites:**

You must not write courses' codes or names, but identify the preliminary skills as the knowledge or the know-how needed to efficiently follow this course.

Write it out in terms of knowledge and methodologies required to follow this course (this would help students from direct entry to position themselves). (This description should not take more than five lines)

Management knowledge: Basic business knowledge

### Course contents:

Here, an abstract of the course can be given or the different chapters of the course can be simply written down.

(The description should not take more than ten lines)

Concepts & Business Models of Sharing Economy: Session 1

Course Introduction & Team-building

Examples of Sharing economy: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sharing economy

Recent Trends of Sharing Economy (PPT file)

I. Introduction

II. Concept of Sharing Economy

(Recent Study) Article 1, 2

Platforms & Blockchain: Session 2

III. Platform Business

Article 3, 4

IV. Digital Infrastructure Article 5, 6

Sustainability (Ethics & Regulations): Session 3 V. Regulation for Consumer/Supplier Protection Article 7, 8

Diverse Domains of Sharing Economy (e.g., FinTech, Crowdfunding): Session 4 VI. Fin-Tech & Crowd-funding Article 9, 10

Methodology: Lecture, Case study, and Team presentation. Each team should consist of 3-5 people. Wish this class can have 4 teams.

# 2) WORKING LOAD

Here, you should allocate the effective working load of the course, including an estimation of the personal work required from the student.

# TABLE 1

| Course's types                     | Number of hours | Notes        |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| Effective presence                 |                 |              |  |  |
| - Magistral Course                 |                 |              |  |  |
| - Interactive Course               | 15              |              |  |  |
| - Tutorials                        |                 |              |  |  |
| - Coaching                         |                 |              |  |  |
| - PBL Course                       |                 |              |  |  |
|                                    | Training from   | n a distance |  |  |
| - Video-conferences                |                 |              |  |  |
| - Webinars                         |                 |              |  |  |
|                                    | Self-lea        | rning        |  |  |
| - Books 'readings                  | 5               |              |  |  |
| - E-learning                       |                 |              |  |  |
| - Research                         |                 |              |  |  |
|                                    | Outdoors-       | -training    |  |  |
| - In firms                         |                 |              |  |  |
| - Internship                       |                 |              |  |  |
| Personal work                      |                 |              |  |  |
| - Group Projects                   | 10              |              |  |  |
| - Individual Projects              |                 |              |  |  |
| - Personal work                    |                 |              |  |  |
| Total working time for the student | 31              |              |  |  |

# Tick here the different educational methods used: □ Coaching □ Case Study □ E-Learning and/or Self-learning □ Interactive courses □ Presentations □ Projects □ Research □ Seminars □ Tutorials □ Visits

3) EDUCATIONAL METHODS

# 4) ASSESSMENT

Sum up briefly the course assessment's mechanism (two or three lines) in order to introduce the assessment's table that you have to complete below (table n°2).

You must also define clearly how feedback will be given to students (in accordance with the feedback policy).

| - | Article p | resentation | (40%) |
|---|-----------|-------------|-------|
|---|-----------|-------------|-------|

Final Team Report (50%)

Choose any favorite case or category introduced at Wikipedia on the first day. Each team can compare different cases in each category or can focus on a particular case. Teams are recommended to choose different category so that students can be exposed to diverse stories and analyses (If the category is overlapped, team can choose different cases).

Case Summary

Make 3-4 questions and explain why those questions are important (e.g., Business model, Risks, Platform, Legal issues). Questions should be very specific (not generic), challenging (not obvious), and related to this course. The quality of questions does matter for your credit in this course.

☐ Then, present your answers to those questions. Analyses should be based upon the contents covered in classes.

Attendance & Participation (10%)

List the assessment for each modality.

### TABLE 2

| Modality      | Type of control       | Length (h) | Number | Weighting (%) |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|--------|---------------|
|               | Continuous assessment |            |        |               |
| Continuous    | Mid-term exam         |            |        |               |
| assessment    | Participation         |            |        | 10            |
|               | Oral presentation     |            |        | 40            |
|               | MCQ                   |            |        |               |
| Final array   | Oral final exam       |            |        |               |
| Final exam    | Written final exam    |            |        |               |
|               | Case study            |            |        |               |
|               | Group Project         |            |        |               |
| <u>Others</u> | Individual Project    |            |        |               |
|               | Written assignment    |            |        | 50            |
|               | Exercises             |            |        |               |

| Reference book (appellation reserved for books selected by the School and necessarily bought be all the students): |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Textbook: Sundararajan, A. 2017. The Sharing Economy: The End of Employment and the Rise of Crowdbased Capitalism. |  |  |
|  | Class books :  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Reviews:   |  |
| Trust: How Digital Trust Po  | MIT Press. (Lectured every day) . Sundararajan, V.B. D'Espous & M. Mohlmann. 2016. The Digitization of wers the Sharing Economy. IESE Business School, Issue 30, 24-31. & E. Tchebotareva. 2018. An Industry in Crisis: Assuring Stakeholders arm Valuation. The University of Hong Kong (HK1133). |  |
| - Article 4: Zhu, F. & M.  | For Some Platforms, Network Effects Are No Match for Local Know-how.<br>Harvard Business Review, July, 2-6.<br>. Iansiti. 2019. Why Some Platforms Thrive and Others Don't. Harvard<br>Business Review, January-February, 3-9.   |  |
| Ass<br>Article 6: Chen, Y. 2018. I   | .F. White & A. Tuzikov. 2017. Blockchain, Cryptocurrencies, and Digital sets. Harvard Business School (N9-818-066). Blockchain Tokens and the Potential Democratization of Entrepreneursh Innovation. Business Horizons, 61, 567-575.  |  |
| Societal Promises and R<br>Article 8: Teo, G. & S-K. S   | E. & N. Craig Smith. 2017. Uber and the Ethics of Sharing: Exploring the Responsibilities of the Sharing Economy. INSEAD (12/2017-6209). Sia. 2017. The Quest for Legitimacy in Digital Disruption: The Case of Ub ABCC at Nanyang Tech University (NTU111).                                       |  |
| from Germa<br>Article 10: Brown, T.E., E. Boor   | Schwienbacher. 2018. Internet-Based Entrepreneurial Finance: Lesson any. California Management Review, 60(2), 150-175.  A & L.F. Pitt. 2017. Seeking Funding In Order To Sell: Crowdfunding as a keting Tool. Business Horizons, 60, 189-195.  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**URL** 

Name of the Website

100%

Total